# FILED

JAN 16 2013

Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians

KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California
JANICE K. LACHMAN
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
BRIAN S. TURNER
Deputy Attorney General
State Bar No. 108991
1300 I Street, Suite 125
P.O. Box 944255
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
Telephone: (916) 445-0603

Facsimile: (916) 327-8643

Attorneys for Complainant

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BEFORE THE
BOARD OF VOCATIONAL NURSING AND PSYCHIATRIC TECHNICIANS
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. VN-2010-3321

DOROTHY RUDINE VENABLE 601 13th Street Marysville, CA 95901 Vocational Nurse License No. VN 44044

ACCUSATION

Respondent.

Complainant alleges:

## **PARTIES**

- 1. Teresa Bello-Jones, J.D., M.S.N., R.N. ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs.
- 2. On or about September 30, 1970, the Board issued Vocational Nurse License Number VN 44044 to Dorothy Rudine Venable ("Respondent"). Respondent's vocational nurse license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2013, unless renewed.

## STATUTORY PROVISIONS

3. Business and Professions Code ("Code") section 2875 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline the holder of a vocational nurse license for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2875) of the Vocational Nursing Practice Act.

1

Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment.

## 8. Code section 490, subdivision (a), states:

In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

## 9. Code section 4022 states:

"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:

- (a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.
- (b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a -----," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.
- (c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.
- 10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section ("Regulation") 2519 states:

As set forth in Section 2878 of the Code, gross negligence is deemed unprofessional conduct and is a ground for disciplinary action. As used in Section 2878 'gross negligence' means a substantial departure from the standard of care which, under similar circumstances, would have ordinarily been exercised by a competent licensed vocational nurse, and which has or could have resulted in harm to the consumer. An exercise of so slight a degree of care as to justify the belief that there was a conscious disregard or indifference for the health, safety, or welfare of the consumer shall be considered a substantial departure from the above standard of care.

## 11. Regulation 2520 states:

As set forth in Section 2878 of the Code, incompetence is deemed unprofessional conduct and is a ground for disciplinary action. As used in Section 2878 'incompetence' means the lack of possession of and the failure to exercise that degree of learning, skill, care and experience ordinarily possessed and exercised by responsible licensed vocational nurses.

#### COST RECOVERY

12. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of

.///

the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

## **DANGEROUS DRUGS**

- 13. "Metoprolol succinate" ("Metoprolol") is a beta selective adrenoceptor blocking agent indicated for the treatment of hypertension, angina pectoris, and heart failure. Metoprolol is a dangerous drug within the meaning of Code section 4022 in that it requires a prescription under federal law.
- 14. "Digoxin", a trade name for Lanoxin, is indicated for the treatment of mild to moderate heart failure. Digoxin is a dangerous drug within the meaning of Code section 4022 in that it requires a prescription under federal law.

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Criminal Conviction)

15. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code sections 2878, subdivision (f), and 490, subdivision (a), in that on or about June 4, 2012, in Sutter County Superior Court, Case No. CR-M-12-0000092, Respondent pled no contest to violating Penal Code section 471.5 (falsifying medical records), a misdemeanor, a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensed vocational nurse. The circumstances of the crime are as set forth in paragraph 16.

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (False Entries in Hospital/Patient Records)

16. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 2878, subdivision (a), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, as defined in Code section 2878.5, subdivision (e), in that in or about February 2011, while employed and on duty as a licensed vocational nurse at Yuba Skilled Nursing Center ("facility"), Respondent falsified, or made grossly incorrect, grossly inconsistent, or unintelligible entries in hospital, patient, or other records pertaining to the dangerous drugs Metoprolol and Digoxin, as follows:

27 |

22.

28 || //

#### Patient A

g

a. On or about February 18, 2011, the facility investigated whether patient A had been receiving prescribed medications. The facility compared the patient's medication administration records ("MAR"), the facility's pharmacy records and patient prescription history. The entries on the MAR's was not consistent with the actual medication/pill count. As part of the investigation, the facility made copies the MAR's with the inconsistent entries. On or about February 20, 2011, Respondent informed the facility's Minimum Data Set Coordinator that the patient's family expressed concerned Respondent had not been administering the patient's medications. On or about February 22, 2011, the facility reviewed the patient's MAR's maintained in the patient's chart and noted changes to the MARs from the MARs copied on February 18, 2011. Respondent altered the MARs by making entries that reflected that while on duty and assigned to the patient, Respondent administered all prescribed doses of Metoprolol to the patient since February 10, 2011.

#### Patient B

b. On or about February 23, 2011, the facility conducted a "Med Pass Test". The med pass test was performed by removing patient B's prescribed Digoxin pills from the medication cart. No other Digoxin was at the facility. Protocol requires the LVN to contact the pharmacy to obtain a new prescription for the patient. After the facility removed the digoxin and the time for Respondent was required to administer the prescription had passed, the facility reviewed the patient's MAR. The MAR showed Respondent documented Digoxin had been provided to the patient. Respondent subsequently admitted to the facility she had not given the Digoxin to the patient.

## THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Gross Negligence)

17. Paragraph 16 is incorporated herein as though set forth at length. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 2878, subdivision (a)(1), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, because in or about February 2011, while employed and on duty as a licensed vocational nurse at the facility, Respondent committed acts constituting gross

28

SA2012104334

Complainant